

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/241,335	02/01/1999	XINZHONG LEON XU	99-P-7449-US	8596
7590 02/23/2004			EXAMINER	
	ORPORATION AL PROPERTY DEPA	ESCALANTE, OVIDIO		
186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ 08830			· 2645	16
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/241,335	XU, XINZHONG LEON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ovidio Escalante	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA!	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 February 2004.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-21 and 23-26 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-21 and 23-26 is/are rejections. 	ndrawn from consideration.	
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re	olication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.
attachment(s)		•
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	,

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 5, 2003. Claims 1-12,14-21 and 23-26 are now pending in the present application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 5, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-6,8,9,14-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjornberg et al. US Patent 6,647,111.

Regarding claim 1, Bjornberg teaches an interactive voice response system, (col. 2, lines 42-55), comprising:

a plurality of general-purpose blocks (primitive SIBBs; col. 9, lines 37-50), each general purpose block being coupled to at least one other general purpose block (fig. 5; col. 9, lines 37-50; each common SIBB can connect to each other), wherein each general-purpose block plays a prompt (col. 12, lines 5-10; e.g., if the general blocks are menu blocks, the block will prompt the caller for a menu response) and is configurable to send a first signal (timeout_error or input error; fig. 7n) without requiring input after playing the prompt (col. 11, lines 42-47) or

Art Unit: 2645

send a second signal according to received input after playing the prompt (col. 12, lines 30-59; col. 10, lines 59-67; menu selection prompt); and

a plurality of transfer blocks (col. 10, lines 49-67), each transfer block being coupled to a general-purpose block (fig. 5; every menu block can have e.g. a call transfer block so that the caller can be routed to the proper destination) to receive one of the first or second signals and is configurable to transfer a call to a specified telephone number, (fig. 7d; col. 10, lines 49-67).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Bjornberg teaches wherein each general-purpose block plays a prompt by accessing at least a sound file and wherein the sound file accessed by each general-purpose block can be configured, (fig. 6; col. 9, lines 26-35).

Regarding claims 4-6 and 17-19, Bjornberg teaches wherein if a general-purpose block is configured to send the second signal according to received input, the general-purpose block receives the input and wherein the general purpose-block receives the input by receiving a key or string of keys which represent DTMF information, (col. 4, lines 26-36; col. 11, lines 41-49; col. 12, lines 6-10).

Regarding claim 8, Bjornberg teaches wherein the general-purpose block processes the received input by selecting the second signal according to the received input, (col. 12, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 9-12 and 21, Bjornberg teaches wherein the general-purpose block determines if there wan an error in the received input, (fig. 7n; col. 12, lines 7-10).

Regarding claim 14, Bjornberg teaches wherein the second signal from a first general-purpose block is received by a second general-purpose block, (col. 12, lines 6-10; menu selection e.g. #1 in fig. 7n can allow the caller to go to a second menu block).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 15 and 23, Bjornberg teaches a method of generating an interactive voice response application (abstract; col. 2, lines 42-55), comprising:

providing a plurality of general-purpose blocks (primitive SIBBs; col. 9, lines 37-50), each general-purpose block being preconfigured to send signals to at least one other general-purpose block, (col. 10, lines 59-67; col. 11, lines 42-47; col. 12, lines 30-59);

selecting a general purpose block, (fig. 6; col. 10, lines 4-24);

specifying a prompt that the selected general-purpose block will play, (fig. 6; col. 10, lines 18-24);

specifying whether the selected general-purpose block will send a first signal without requiring input after playing the prompt or send a second signal according to received input after playing the prompt, (fig. 7d; col. 12, lines 30-59; col. 10, lines 4-34);

providing a plurality of transfer blocks (col. 10, lines 49-67) to receive one of the first or second signals to transfer a call to a telephone number, (fig. 7d);

selecting a transfer block, (fig. 7d); and

specifying the telephone number for the selected transfer block, (col. 10, lines 49-67).

Regarding claim 16, Bjornberg teaches wherein specifying a prompt that the selected general-purpose block will play includes specifying a file that stores the prompt, said prompt being a sound message, (fig. 6; col. 9, lines 26-35; col. 10, lines 18-24).

5. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hammarström et al. US Patent 6,044,142.

Regarding claim 24, Hammarström teaches a method of modifying an interactive voice response system at run-time, (col. 2, lines 46-65; col. 3, lines 18-32; an operator will modify the

Art Unit: 2645

callers automated service by selecting and sequencing service script modules (i.e. SIBs; col. 2, lines 2-5)), comprising:

executing the interactive voice response system, the system including a plurality of general-purpose blocks (service independent building blocks; col. 2, lines 2-16) and a plurality of transfer blocks that are configurable to transfer a call to a specified telephone number, (col. 3, lines 47-67; col. 4, lines 18-24; col. 8, line 5);

modifying a configuration of a selected general-purpose block; and updating the configuration of the selected general-purpose block at run-time, (col. 3, lines 58-64).

Regarding claim 25, Hammarström teaches wherein modifying a configuration of a selected general-purpose block includes storing a configuration parameter in a database, (col. 3, lines 58-67).

Regarding claim 26, Hammarström teaches wherein an object monitors the database and sends a signal to the selected general-purpose block that the configuration has changed, (col. 8, lines 9-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 7,10-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjornberg et al. US Patent 6,647,111 in view of Malik US Patent 6,463,130.

Regarding claims 7 and 20, Bjornberg, as applied above, does not teach of playing a noinput prompt. However, Bjornberg suggest of indicating that no-input was received, therefore it

Art Unit: 2645

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to play a no-input prompt so that the user can be reminded to input a response.

Nonetheless, Malik teaches wherein the general-purpose block plays a no-input prompt if the general-purpose block does not receive the input within a predetermined amount of time, (col. 3, lines 33-36). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to play a no input prompt so that the caller can be alerted that an input is required if they did not hear the first prompt.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bjornberg by playing a no-input prompt as taught by Malik so that the calling party can be notified that an input is needed in order to progress through the call.

Regarding claims 10-12 and 21, while Bjornberg, as applied above, teaches of determining if there is an error in the input, Bjornberg does not teach of sending an error prompt if there was an error.

Malik teaches wherein the general-purpose block determines if their was an error in the received input, and wherein the general-purpose block continues receiving the input after the error prompt is played. Malik also teaches wherein the general-purpose block plays the prompt after the error prompt is played, (col. 3, lines 29-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bjornberg by determining errors in the input and playing an error prompt as taught by Malik so that the system can notify the caller that their input was not correct and can re-request that the caller re-enter their information.

Art Unit: 2645

Response to Arguments

Page 7

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12,14-19,21 and 23 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Regarding claims 24-26, Applicants contend that Hammarström does not disclose that

the operator modifies SIBs nor that the configuration is updated at run-time as claimed. The

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner believes that Hammarström anticipates claim 24 in view of Applicant's

arguments. Applicants contend that Hammarström does not teach, "modifying a configuration of

a selected general-purpose block"; and" updating the configuration of the selected general-

purpose block at run-time". The Examiner respectfully disagrees since Hammarström teaches

that SIBs are used to process a call and when a customer wants additional service then an

operator can modify the SIBs so that the customer requested service can be setup. Since an

operator is able to modify a customer's service by using SIBs and since the network is able to

initiate the service in real time then the Examiner believes that Hammarström teaches of

modifying a configuration of a selected general-purpose block; and updating the configuration of

the selected general-purpose block at run time.

Conclusion

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit: 2645

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9314, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is (703) 308-6262. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [fan.tsang@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

Art Unit: 2645

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ovidio Escalante Examiner Group 2645 February 19, 2004

> FAN TAING SUPERVIOL - LAINT EVAMINER TEULIUL - A GUNTER 2000

Jan